

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board Clean Water Act §319(h) Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2018 Workplan 18-11

	SUMMARY PAGE	E	
Title of Project	Continued Coordination of the Leon Riv	ver Watershed Protection Pla	n Implementation
Project Goals	 To foster coordinated assistance act Plan (WPP) stakeholders To conduct regular stakeholder mee partners with updates on progress, a on needed activities To support and facilitate the Leon F management measures to improve v funding for implementation of manaimplementation projects as well as a Practices (BMPs) Evaluate progress toward achieving Coordinate and conduct water resour 	etivities for the Leon River Weetings to encourage citizen parand seek stakeholder input are River WPP stakeholders in idwater quality, developing proagement measures, managing encouraging adoption of Best milestones established in the	atershed Protection articipation, provide and recommendations dentifying oposals to acquire g and tracking t Management e WPP
Project Tasks	efforts across the watershed (1) Project Administration; (2) Support a Outreach, Education & Community Sup	_	lementation; (3)
Measures of Success	 Provide technical assistance to Leon Evaluate progress toward achieving Reduce potential bacterial contaminagricultural and urban nonpoint sou Increase knowledge of citizens, land measures identified in WPP 	n River WPP stakeholders g milestones and publish an a nation and nutrient loading for arce pollution	or streams from
Project Type	Implementation (X); Education (X); Plan	nning (): Assessment (): Gr	oundwater ()
Status of Waterbody on 2014 Texas Integrated Report	Segment ID 1221 – Leon River below Proctor Lake 1221A – Resley Creek 1221D – Indian Creek 1221F – Walnut Creek	Parameter of Impairment or Concern Bacteria Bacteria DO Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria	Category 5c 5b 5c 5b 5c 5b 5c
Project Location (Statewide or Watershed and County) Key Project Activities	The Leon River Watershed below Procto Hamilton, Erath, Coryell, Mills and Bell Hire Staff (); Surface Water Quality Mo	counties (Priority Area) onitoring (); Technical Assis	tance ();
2012 Texas NPS	Education (X); Implementation (X); BM Demonstration (); Planning (); Modelin Component One –LTGs 2, 3, 5, 6		(/ /
Management Program Reference	Component One – STGs 2D, 3B, 3IComponent Two		
Project Costs	Federal \$191,552 Non-Fed	' '	
Project Management	 Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Se Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Se 		
Project Period	November 1, 2018 – October 31, 2021		

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant									
PI		Lucas Gregory							
Title		Research Scient	Research Scientist						
Organizatio	on	Texas A&M Ag	riLife Exte	ension Serv	vice,	Texas Wate	r Resourc	es Institute	
E-mail Add	lress	lfgregory@ag.ta	mu.edu						
Street Addr	ess	578 Kimbrough	Blvd						
City	College St	ation	ion County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843						77843
Telephone ?	Number	979-845-7869			Fax	x Number	979-845-	-0662	

Co-Applica	ant								
Co-PI Jim Cathey									
Title		Associate Direc	Associate Director						
Organizatio	n	Texas A&M Ag	riLife Exte	ension Serv	ice,	Texas A&N	A Natural	Resources In	nstitute
E-mail Add	lress	jccathey@tamu.	edu						
Street Addı	ess	578 Kimbrough	Blvd						
City College Station County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843						77843			
Telephone Number 979-845-1851 Fax Number 979-845-0662									

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.
Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service,	Provide project management and oversight; project reporting; provide
Texas Water Resources Institute (TWRI)	assistance for stakeholder relations.
Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service,	Provide project management and oversight; Serve as watershed
Texas A&M Natural Resources Institute	coordinator; provide coordination of ongoing implementation efforts;
(NRI)	assess water quality data collected through the Clean Rivers Program in
	relation to achieving load reductions; maintain project website.

Part II – Project Information

Project Type										
Surface Water	X	Grou	ındwater							
Does the project implement recommendations made in (a) a completed WPP, (b) an adopted TMDL, (c) an approved I-Plan, (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan developed under CWA §320, (e) the <i>Texas Coastal Nonpoint Source (NPS) Pollution Control Program</i> , or (f) the <i>Texas Groundwater Protection Strategy</i> ?										
If yes, identify the	If yes, identify the document. Watershed Protection Plan for the Leon River Below Proctor Lake and Above Belton Lake									
If yes, identify the agency/group that							:	20	15	
developed and/or a	approve	d the c	document.	Brazos	River Authority	Deve	eloped	20	13	

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2014 IR	Size (Acres)
Leon River Watershed below Proctor Lake and above Belton Lake	120702010501 — 120702010509, 120702010601 — 120702010605, 120702010701 — 120702010705, 120702010801 — 120702010806, 120702010901 — 120702010908, 120702011002	1221	5C	871,488

Water Quality Impairment

Armstrong Creek

Segment 1221F: Walnut Creek:

headwaters of water body

1221D_02 From confluence with Armstrong Creek upstream to

1221F_01 From its confluence with Leon River upstream to its

headwaters 2.4 miles west of Dublin in Erath County

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2014 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

2014 Texas Int	egrated Report			
		<u>Impairment</u>	<u>Category</u>	Year Listed
Segment 1221:	: Leon River:			
1221_03	From the confluence w/ Stillhouse Creek, upstream to			
	confluence w/ Plum Creek	bacteria	5c	1996
1221_06	From confluence with South Leon Creek upstream to			
	confluence w/ Walnut Creek	bacteria	5c	1996
Segment 1221	A: Resley Creek:			
1221A_01	From confluence of Leon River upstream to unnamed			
	tributary approx. 1 mi. N of Comanche Co. Line	bacteria	5b	2004
		dissolved oxygen	5c	2006
1221A_02	From confluence of unnamed tributary upstream to			
	upper end of water body; approx. 1.0 miles NW of			
	Dublin	bacteria	5b	2004
Segment 1221	D: Indian Creek:			
1221D_01	From confluence with Leon River upstream to			

bacteria

bacteria

bacteria

5b

5b

5c

2006

2006

2006

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

The Leon River watershed, located in the Brazos River Basin, is bound by Proctor Lake upstream and Belton Lake downstream. The Leon River (Segment 1221) is approximately 190 miles long and the watershed is approximately 1,375 square miles covering portions of Comanche, Bell, Erath, Hamilton, and Coryell counties. A small portion of the watershed lies within Mills County. The Leon River watershed is a predominantly rural, agricultural watershed dominated by rangeland with some cropland. Forests also cover a sizable amount of the watershed. A significant amount of dairy production also exists in the northern portion of the watershed.

In 1996, Segment 1221 was placed on the Texas 303(d) List of impaired waters for bacteria levels "Not Supporting Contact Recreation Use." The 2008 303(d) List identified all but two of the segment's assessment units as impaired or having a concern for near non-attainment resulting from elevated *E. coli* levels. Additionally, five tributaries of the Leon River are impaired for bacteria (1221A – Resley Creek, 1221B – South Leon River, 1221C – Pecan Creek, 1221D – Indian Creek, and 1221F – Walnut Creek); 1221C Pecan Creek was recently delisted on the 2010 Integrated Report.

Placement of the Leon River on the §303(d) List caused the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to initiate the development of a total maximum daily load (TMDL). A draft TMDL was published by TCEQ in 2008 that indicated a 21% load reduction in bacteria levels would be needed to restore water quality in the Leon River. Sources of bacterial pollution identified in the Leon River watershed included as wastewater treatment facility discharges, storm water runoff, failing on-site sewage facilities (OSSFs), wildlife and feral animals, as well as fecal deposition from livestock and pets.

In the midst of the TMDL development process, stakeholders sought to initiate the development of a WPP for the Leon River. Through TSSWCB project 06-12, *Leon River Watershed Protection Plan Project*, the WPP for the Leon River Below Proctor Lake and Above Belton Lake was completed in fall 2011. Sources of pollutants identified in the Leon River WPP include wastewater treatment facilities, sanitary sewer overflows, direct deposition from feral hogs, deer, and dead animals, and polluted storm water wash off from forestland, rangeland, cropland, residential commercial and industrial areas, and waste application fields.

The WPP identified responsible parties, implementation milestones and estimated financial costs for individual management measures and outreach and education activities. The plan also described load reductions expected from full implementation of all management measures. Measures that are in the process of being implemented that focus on control of agricultural nonpoint source pollution include: 1) providing technical assistance to agricultural producers for the development and implementation of Water Quality Management Plans (WQMPs) that focus on reducing bacteria loading from livestock operations; 2) financial incentives to agricultural producers for implementing best management practices prescribed in the WQMPs which will achieve bacteria load reductions; and, 3) allocation of the Environmental Quality Incentives Program by the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Funding for development and implementation of WQMPs (1 and 2 above) has been provided during FY2009-2013 through the USDA NRCS Agricultural Water Enhancement Program project entitled *Water Quality Improvement Project for the Leon River*.

Management measures to reduce impacts from invasive species that have been implemented in the watershed include aerial control of feral hogs in Coryell, Comanche, and Hamilton counties using County funds. Coryell County is also using Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) funds to fund a feral hog cooperative that will implement targeted abatement efforts on thousands of acres adjacent to the Leon River in eastern portion of the county. TSSWCB has also funded a feral hog extension position currently stationed in Burnet, TX. The feral hog extension associate is responsible for feral hog education across Texas including the Leon River Watershed and surrounding areas. Measures that focus on pollution impacts from wastewater that have been implemented include: 1) wastewater treatment facility improvements by the cities of Comanche and Hamilton as well as the Upper Leon River Municipal Water District; 2) identification and inspection of OSSFs in Hamilton, Comanche, and Coryell counties; and 3) providing technical and financial assistance to homeowners for the repair, replacement, or removal of OSSFs in Hamilton, Comanche, and

Coryell counties. Funding for OSSF inspection and technical and financial assistance (2 and 3 above) has been provided through TSSWCB project 14-05, *Implementation of the Leon River Watershed Protection Plan through Technical and Financial Assistance to Repair or Replace On-Site Sewage Facilities in Hamilton County* and TCEQ project 582-17-70481 *Leon River On-site Sewage Facility Financial Incentive Program.*


The Brazos River Authority (BRA) served as the watershed coordinator through the development of the WPP and has facilitated the stakeholder process. Funding for BRA ended in January 2012, Texas A&M Natural Resources Institute (formerly the Texas A&M Institute of Renewable Natural Resources), has served as watershed coordinator since June 2013 via a contract with Central Texas Council of Governments, and since February 2015 via a direct contract with TSSWCB through the Texas Water Resources Institute.

The WPP identifies the need for a watershed coordinator position. This position will provide technical assistance to the Watershed Steering Committee (WSC) and stakeholders, promote water quality improvements and implementation, seek additional funding, coordinate outreach and education efforts, assess water quality data in relation to achieving load reductions, and evaluate progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP.

This project is necessary to provide for facilitation of the Leon River WSC and stakeholders and coordination of WPP implementation.

Project Narrative

General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)



Through a local presence in watershed, the watershed coordinator will serve as the primary conduit for interaction with landowners, citizens, and entities to facilitate implementation of the WPP. The watershed coordinator will coordinate meetings with the Leon River WSC and stakeholders to update them, seek their input and recommendations on needed activities, and continue to support and facilitate implementation efforts of the plan. The watershed coordinator will assist the cities, counties, local boards and businesses to acquire resources to enable WPP implementation. The watershed coordinator will work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial assistance to the watershed.

As part of an adaptive management approach embraced by stakeholders, the watershed

coordinator will evaluate progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP and assess water quality data in relation to achieving load reductions.

Coordination of outreach and education efforts by the watershed coordinator will facilitate and support public participation by private individuals and local officials in the implementation of the Leon River WPP. The watershed coordinator will develop publications such as a semi-annual newsletter, factsheets and website content to promote and communicate watershed pollution prevention efforts. Additionally, the watershed coordinator will coordinate and conduct educational outreach efforts across the watershed by organizing training programs such as Lone Star Healthy

Streams (feral hog, grazing cattle, horse, and dairy cattle components), Riparian Workshops for Landowners, and Texas Watershed Stewards workshop.

	tives and Schedul	es				
, ,						
Task 1	Project Administ	ration				
Costs	Federal	\$19,155	Non-Federal	\$12,770	Total	\$31,925
Objective	To effectively ac	minister, coordin	ate and monitor al	l work performed	under this pr	roject including
			n and preparation of			
Subtask 1.1		•	• • • •			e TSSWCB. QPRs
			rmed within a quar		•	the 1 st of January,
			all be distributed to			
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 36
Subtask 1.2			ng functions for pr		ill submit app	propriate
			CB at least quarterl			
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 36
Subtask 1.3			ngs or conference of			
						d other requirements.
	•		ems needed follow	ing each project co	oordination r	meeting and distribute
	to project person					26.106
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 36
Subtask 1.4						ions reached during
	1 3	eport will also in	iclude the extent to	which project goa	als and measi	ures of success have
	been achieved.		M 41- 1	C1-4: I	2-4-	M1- 26
D 1' 11	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I	Jate	Month 36
Deliverables	~	ctronic format				
			ecessary documen		/ format	
	 Final Report 	t in electronic an	d hard copy format	S		

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules										
Task 2	Support and Facilitation of	Support and Facilitation of WPP Implementation									
Costs	Federal \$114,93	Non-Federal	\$76,621	Total	\$191,552						
Objective	Facilitate continued stake	Facilitate continued stakeholder involvement in the Leon River Watershed to ensure successful									
	implementation of the Le	on River WPP and track in	plementation.								
Subtask 2.1	The watershed coordinate	or (WC) will assist government	nental and non-gov	ernmental orga	nizations in the						
		dentification and acquisition	,		,						
	•	e WC will actively seek an									
	1 0	proposals. The WC will we		ederal agencies	, as appropriate,						
	to bring technical and fina	ancial resources to the water									
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion D		Month 36						
Subtask 2.2	*	and track progress toward	•								
	The state of the s	BRA to assess water quality		•	Rivers Program						
	and other data collection of	efforts in relation to achiev	ing load reductions	S							
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion D		Month 36						
Subtask 2.3	•	blic participation and stake									
		osting a meeting of the Leo									
		eek input and recommenda									
		cation and prepare and diss	•	otices and ager	idas. A meeting						
		and posted to the project									
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion D	ate	Month 36						

Subtask 2.4	The WC will maintain a database of watershed stakeholders and affected parties for use in engaging the public in the watershed planning process. The stakeholder group will be added to based upon previous efforts of BRA and Parsons in TSSWCB project 06-12, <i>Leon River Watershed Protection Plan Project</i> . The spreadsheet will represent a diverse cross section of Leon River landowners, citizens, local businesses, local and regional governmental entities and elected officials, state and federal agencies, and										
	environmental and special interest groups.										
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36							
Subtask 2.5	The WC will attend and p	articipate in other publi	c meetings as appropriate to c	ommunicate project							
	goals, activities and accon	goals, activities and accomplishments to affected parties. Such meetings may include, but are not limited									
			Clean Rivers Program Basin S								
	Coordinated Monitoring, 1	ocal soil and water con	servation districts (SWCDs),	groundwater conservation							
	districts and other appropr	riate meetings of critica	l watershed stakeholder group	S.							
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36							
Subtask 2.6			lusion in the Clean Rivers Pro								
	Report and Basin Highligh	nts Report regarding pr	ogress to implement the Leon	River WPP.							
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36							
Subtask 2.7			ewsletters designed to keep la								
			ties, including water quality da								
			P. The newsletter shall be distr								
			in the watershed. The WC wi								
			priate. TSSWCB must approv								
			otional publications prior to dis								
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36							
Subtask 2.8			cholders to engage the public a								
			priate communication mechan								
	1 1		(print, radio, television). The	•							
			als, including, but not limited to								
			priate promotional publication								
			between stakeholders. The W								
			tners as appropriate. TSSWCF rials and promotional publicat	* *							
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36							
Deliverables			ce lists, and summaries from I								
Denverables	Steering Committee m		ee fists, and summaries from I	Zeon River Watershed							
	_	U	tified, applied for and resourc	as obtained to support							
	plan implementation	urce opportunities iden	arried, applied for and resource	es obtained to support							
	Stakeholder database, 1	undated as needed									
		•	brief summary of topics discu	ussed and action needed							
	included in QPRs	anchueu anu uates with	orier summary or topics disci	usseu and action needed							
	-	o Clean Rivers Progran	n for publication materials								
	_	_	chures, letters, factsheets, news	s releases and other							
		ns, as developed and di		o rereases, and other							
	promononal publication	iis, as de veroped and di	iosciiii atea								

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedu	les							
Task 3	Outreach, Educa	tion and Com	munity Support						
Costs	Federal	\$57,466	Non-Federal	\$38,310	Total	\$95,776			
Objective		· *	ide information trans	•	participation in	the Leon River			
			e and WPP implemen						
Subtask 3.1			onduct water resource						
	efforts across the watershed as identified in the Leon River WPP. The WC will work with collaborating								
	_		ng training programs		-	CB):			
		•	ms (Feral Hog compo						
		•	ms (Grazing Cattle co	•	•				
		•	ms (Horses compone	_					
		•	ms (Dairy Cattle com	•					
			nent Workshops for la		d managers – 1	event			
			rd Program – 1 event						
			orkshop – 1 event						
	 Texas We 	ll Owner Netv	work training and well	screening event -	1 event				
	these programs t	o the Leon Riv	tities that administer/ ver watershed depend	ing on priorities of	f those entities a	and programs.			
			entive Program to adv						
			hops for homeowners			- '			
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion 1		Month 36			
Subtask 3.2			tion and outreach act						
	•		Leon River WPP and	•					
		•	The WC will support	•					
	•		tours, or education e		y AgriLife Exte	ension, USDA-			
			Leon River Watershe						
D 11 11	Start Date		Month 1	Completion I		Month 36			
Deliverables			naterials, attendance		es from worksh	ops, field tours,			
			or educational events						
	 Presentations 	given to local	schools and commun	nity organizations					

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

- Facilitate and continue implementation of the Leon River WPP and foster coordinated assistance activities between the Cities, Counties, TSSWCB, local SWCDs, NRCS, and members of the Leon River WSC by providing a local presence in the Leon River Watershed.
- Conduct Leon River WSC meetings to provide updates on progress, seek stakeholder input and recommendations on needed activities, and encourage citizen participation.
- Support and facilitate the Leon River WSC in developing proposals to acquire funding for implementation of management measures, managing and tracking implementation projects as well as facilitating education programs in order to encourage adoption of BMPs.
- Work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial resources to the Leon River watershed.
- Track and document implementation efforts to assess progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP.
- Coordinate and conduct water resources and related environmental outreach/education efforts across the watershed, by developing publications, website content to promote and communicate watershed efforts, organizing training programs, and by participation in local community clean up events.

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

- Provide technical assistance to the Leon River WSC and stakeholders through identification and acquisition of resources, seek and pursue funding opportunities, and develop grant proposals
- Evaluate progress toward achieving milestones in the WPP and if needed, work with the WSC on modifications/updates to goals, measures, and milestones
- Increased knowledge of citizens, landowners and agricultural producers of management measures identified in WPP through outreach and educational efforts including training programs

2012 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

 $Component\ One-Explicit\ Short-\ and\ Long-term\ goals,\ objectives,\ and\ strategies\ that\ protect\ surface\ and\ groundwater.$

Long-Term Goal Two – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation and education.

Long-Term Goal Three – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to reduce NPS pollution, such as the implementation of strategies defined in... WPPs.

Long-Term Goal Five – Develop partnerships, relationships... to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage NPS pollution.

Long-Term Goal Six – Increase overall public awareness of NPS issues and prevention activities.

 $Short-Term\ Goal\ Two-Implementation-Objective\ D-Implement...\ WPPs\ developed\ to\ restore\ and\ maintain\ water quality\ in\ waterbodies\ identified\ as\ impacted\ by\ NPS\ pollution.$

 $Short-Term\ Goal\ Three-Education-Objective\ B-Administer\ programs\ to\ educate\ citizens\ about\ water\ quality\ and\ their\ potential\ role\ in\ causing\ NPS\ pollution.$

Short-Term Goal Three – Education – Objective D – Conduct outreach…to facilitate broader participation and partnerships. Enable stakeholders and the public to participate in decision-making and provide a more complete understanding of water quality issues and how they relate to each citizen.

Short-Term Goal Three – Education – Objective F – Implement public outreach and education to maintain and restore water quality in waterbodies by NPS pollution.

Component Two – Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities, private sector groups, and Federal agencies.

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements FY 2018-2022 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – Goal 1 Core Mission

Strategic Plan Objective – Objective 1.2 Provide for Clean and Safe Water

Part III - Financial Information

Budget Summary	7								
Federal	\$	191.	552		% of total p	roject	60%		
Non-Federal	\$	127.	,701		% of total p	roject		40%	
Total	\$	319	,253		Total			100%	
Category			Federal			Non-Federal		Total	
Personnel		\$	108,3	04	\$	63,958	\$	172,262	
Fringe Benefits		\$	36,102		\$	18,891	\$	54,993	
Travel		\$	13,437		\$	0	\$	13,437	
Equipment		\$	0		\$	\$ 0		0	
Supplies		\$	1,80	00	\$	0	\$	1,800	
Contractual		\$		0	\$	0	\$	0	
Construction		\$		0	\$	0	\$	0	
Other		\$	6,9	24	\$	0	\$	6,924	
Total Direct Costs		\$	166,5	67	\$	82,849	\$	249,416	
Indirect Costs (≤ 15%)		\$	24,985		\$	44,852	\$	69,837	
Total Project Costs	S	\$	191,5	52	\$	127,701	\$	319,253	

Budget Justification (Federal) – Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service			
Category	Total Amount	Justification	
Personnel	\$ 108,304	• NRI Watershed Coordinator: \$43,972 @ 18 months (\$67,957)	
		• TWRI Research Scientist: \$77,600 @ 3 months: (\$20,579)	
		• Program Manager/Grant Administrator III: \$76,778 @ 3 months: (\$19,768)	
		*named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.)	
Fringe Benefits	\$ 36,102	Fringe Benefits for full-time faculty/staff are calculated at: 16.8% salary and \$746/month insurance	
		*(Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.)	
Travel	\$ 13,437	 Lodging @ 91 per night (\$1,638), per diem @ \$51 per day (\$1,224), and travel fees (\$75) for conferences, including Association of Conservation Districts Annual Conference over 3 years (\$2,937) Extension Mileage for various watershed and coordination meetings/presentations estimated at 6,000 miles/yr. throughout the project duration @ \$0.50/mile (\$9,000) County Agent mileage for meetings and presentations estimated at 1,000 miles/yr. @ \$0.50/mile (\$1,500) 	
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A	
Supplies	\$ 1,800	Office supplies such as computer, printer, computer programs, pens, paper, ink cartridges, folders, fax film, etc.	
Contractual*	\$ 0	N/A	
Construction	\$ 0	N/A	
Other	\$ 6,924	• Conference Registrations (\$1,260)	
		• Printing (\$500)	
		• Facility rental (\$486)	
		Booth space to showcase project (\$528)	
		• Internet Hotspot (\$1,950)	
Y 11	ф 2 100 7	• Computer (\$2,200)	
Indirect	\$ 24,985	15% of total federal direct costs	

Budget Justification (Non-Federal) – Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service			
Category	Total Amount	Justification	
Personnel	\$ 63,958	 NRI Associate Director: \$143,308 @ 1.92 months (\$24,367) Coryell County CEA: \$47,897 @ 3 months (\$12,327) Hamilton County CEA: \$46,500 @ 3 months (\$11,972) Comanche County CEA: \$59,392 @ 3 months (\$15,292) *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.) 	
Fringe Benefits	\$ 18,891	Fringe Benefits for full-time faculty/staff are calculated at: 16.8% salary and 746/month insurance *(Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.)	
Travel	\$ 0	N/A	
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A	
Supplies	\$ 0	N/A	
Contractual*	\$ 0	N/A	
Construction	\$ 0	N/A	
Other	\$ 0	N/A	
Indirect	\$ 23,198	28% of total non-federal direct costs	
Unrecovered IDC	\$ 21,654	Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service's negotiated indirect cost rate is 28% of modified total direct costs. Unrecovered IDC is 28% - 15% = 13% \$166,567 * 0.13	